

Eric J. Buescher (Bar No. 271323)
 Nicole C. Sasaki (Bar No. 298736)
SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER
 1736 Franklin Street, Suite 800
 Oakland, California 94612
 Telephone: (510) 735-9700
 Facsimile: (510) 735-9160
 Email: eric@baykeeper.org
 Email: nicole@baykeeper.org

Daniel Cooper (Bar No. 153576)
 Jessica Hollinger (Bar No. 344211)
 Kristina Hambley (Bar No. 352499)
SYCAMORE LAW, INC.
 1004-B O'Reilly Avenue
 San Francisco, California 94129
 Telephone: (415) 360-2962
 Email: daniel@sycamore.law
 Email: jessica@sycamore.law
 Email: kristina@sycamore.law

Attorneys for Intervenor-Plaintiff
 SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and PEOPLE
 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA by and through
 CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
 CONTROL BOARD, SAN FRANCISCO BAY
 REGION,

Plaintiffs,

and SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER, a California
 non-profit corporation,

Intervenor-Plaintiff,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 3:24-cv-02594-AMO

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 BAYKEEPER'S MOTION TO
 INTERVENE AND FILE
 BAYKEEPER'S COMPLAINT IN
 INTERVENTION**

**(Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a);
 Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33
 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*)**

Complaint Filed: May 1, 2024

[Proposed] Hearing Date: June 20, 2024
 Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 10

Judge: Hon. Araceli
 Martinez-Olguin

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Whereas, Intervenor-Plaintiff Baykeeper, Inc. (“Intervenor” or “Baykeeper”), has moved to intervene in this matter;

Whereas, section 505(b)(1)(B) of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(B), authorizes any citizen to intervene as a matter of right in any ongoing civil action brought by the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) to require compliance with a standard, limitation, or order under the CWA;

Whereas, this action is a civil action brought by EPA pursuant to section 309 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319, against the City and County of San Francisco (“Defendant”) to require compliance with CWA standards, limitations, and orders prohibiting the discharge of sewage without CWA permit authorization;

Whereas, Baykeeper’s Motion to Intervene was timely filed; Baykeeper’s Motion to Intervene creates no prejudice to the existing parties to this litigation;

Whereas, good cause appears:

The Court hereby GRANTS Baykeeper’s Motion to Intervene, and deems Baykeeper’s [Proposed] Complaint in Intervention as filed on this date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _____, 2024

By: _____
Honorable Araceli Martinez-Olguin
U.S. District Judge